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Abstract—Placido topographer CSO Phoenix had been used to measure 30 peoples corneas with astigmatism < 0.50 Dc (University of West Attica) and their refractive maps were taken. The refractive power map was used with measurements taken at the horizontal (180°), vertical (90°), and oblique meridians (45° and 135°) for each of these 30 people. The keratometric measurements for > 5mm were recorded. The investigation took place at University of West Attica. The results showed that the shape of the cornea varies in the various meridians (e.g. 180° or 90°) and even with oblique meridians (e.g. in the nasal relative to the temporal side) and its curvature correlates with a different equation for each meridian.

Index Terms—Corneal topography, Placido, best fitting curve

I. INTRODUCTION

The cornea is an optically transparent, dome-shaped anatomic structure, with a slightly curved curvature than that of the sclera, giving the eye a slight tingling on the anterior surface. It is the main refractive agent of the eye and is responsible for 2/3 of its total strength. The remaining 1/3 comes mainly from the crystalline lens with little contribution from the aqueous humor and the vitreous body. The average values of its dimensions are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I: THE AVERAGE VALUES OF CORNEAS DIMENSIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front surface radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal Diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center Thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral Thickness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cornea is an optically transparent, dome-shaped anatomic structure, with a slightly curved curvature than that of the sclera, giving the eye a slight tingling on the anterior surface. It is the main refractive agent of the eye and is responsible for 2/3 of its total strength. The remaining 1/3 comes mainly from the crystalline lens with little contribution from the aqueous humor and the vitreous body. The average values of its dimensions are as follows:

II. METHOD

The principle of topography operation is based on the principles of keratometry operation, except that it can scan a larger area and not just central 3mm as opposed to a simple keratometer [5],[6].

In the placido disc topography we study the deflection of the reflection between the concentric circles (mires) formed on the anterior surface of the cornea, thus calculating the radius of curvature of the surface. The mirrored image of the rings is recorded by a digital camera, the computer's program of each device analyzes the data and presents the results in various forms as cartographic maps [7],[8].

Topographic systems are divided into two categories, large and small cones. Large cones have more rings, thus measuring more points, giving more precision. However, due to the long distance organ-cornea, nose and eyelashes are formed giving incomplete data for topography. On the other hand, small cone systems make measurements very close to the corneal surface, minimizing the "dead" spots. However, this results in fewer rings giving less precision [12],[13].

In addition, topographic systems provide the following information:

- The curvature of any point on the map in diopters.
- Keratometric indications, such as keratometers.
- The average diopter power in each of the light rings.
- Corneal smoothness and symmetry.
- Prediction of visual acuity depending on the smoothness and symmetry of the cornea.
- Optical axis, pupil position and geometric center on map.
- The rectangles but also the real axes of astigmatism on the map.
- Keratometric indications, such as keratometers.

Topographic map forms [20],[17]:

1. Absolute scale topographic map
2. Scaled map (normalized scale, adjustable scale)
3. Axial map
4. Local tangential map curvature map
5. Refractive Map
6. Elevation Map
7. Isometric map and 3D map
8. Multiple displays and comparative dioptic map
Examples of these maps are given in figure 2.

Figure 2: a) Absolute, b) Variable scale (adjustable) topographic maps and c) 3D map.

Figure 3: Normal cornea.

Normal cornea
The normal cornea is aspherical and shows a small degree of asymmetry. It is curved in the center with a gradual reduction of curvature (flattening) towards the periphery. There is enantiomorphism (mirror symmetry) between the right and left eye [14],[15],[16].

Depending on the shape of the central isopters of the topographic map, the shape of the normal cornea is divided into round, oval, symmetrical 8 or hourglass as an asymmetric 8 and anomalous. The symmetrical 8 figures are observed in a cornea with normal astigmatism. When astigmatism is in accordance with the rule, symmetrical 8 figure is on the vertical axis (90), while in the rule of astigmatism in the horizontal (180).

What should be noted is that the topography of the cornea is essentially topography of the teardrop layer. Whenever it is abnormal and disturbed (keratoconjunctivitis, malignancies dysfunctions, etc.) the topography becomes inaccurate and relatively unreliable. In addition, the surface of the cornea is characterized by asphericity.

The cornea is flattened from its top to its periphery and relates to the shape of an elongated shortage.

The shape of the cornea can be described as follows [11],[18],[19]:

\[ P = Q + 1 \]

The eccentricity \( Q \) can be described by the following formula

\[ Q = -e^2 \]

SF is the shape factor of the cornea and is equal to

\[ SF = e^2 \]

SF is also equal to \( SF = 1 - p \)

The equation that governs each of these factors is described in Table 1 below.

| TABLE 2: THE EQUATION OF THE SHAPE OF THE CORNEA |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| \( e^2 = 1 - p \) | \( p = 1 - e^2 \) | \( SF = e^2 \) | \( Q = -e^2 \) |
| \( p \) | \( 1 - p \) | \( 1 - SF \) | \( -Q \) |

A conical section can be a portion of an excess, or parabola, of an ellipse, or part of a circle, and can be fully described using two parameters. The first parameter is the main (central) radius of curvature \( r_0 \) and the second parameter is the eccentricity.

The simplest way to describe a conical section is by using the Baker equation where,

\[ p = \frac{(2r_0 * x - y^2)}{y^2} \]

\( p \) = coefficient of shape
\( r_0 \) = center radius of curvature (mm)
\( x \) = sagittal depth at a length determined by the chord (mm)
\( y \) = half of the chord length (mm)

Many current topographers use this equation as a basis for calculating the shape of the cornea and the various markers. All these conic sections are described by a single equation:

\[ y^2 = 2r_0 x - px^2 \]

Where \( r_0 \) is the radius of curvature of the surface at the top and the type of conic section depends on the value of \( p \), as shown in the figure, \( p \) is the number whose value determines and the type of surface.

For \( p > 1 \) we have a vertical deficiency
For \( p = 0 \) we have a circle
For \( p < 1 \) but \( > 0 \) we have a horizontal deficiency
For \( p = 0 \) we have the so-called parabola and
For \( p < 0 \) we have hyperbola

When the conical sections are rotated around their x-axis axes, the solid shapes created are known as cones.
III. PURPOSE

Using Placido topographer CSO Phoenix, 30 people (University of West Attica) were measured and refractive maps were taken from the corneas of those participants.

IV. RESULTS

Taken the refractive powers for example as it is seen in Fig.8, firstly at $180^\circ$ (46.78, 45.50, 44.65, 44.41, 45.12, 46.30) at $90^\circ$ (45.86, 44.71, 44.09, 45.10, 46.07) at $45^\circ$ (45.49, 45.51, 46.85) and at $135^\circ$ (46.18, 45.47, 45.32) we tried to find using the best fitting curve equation that represents the curve that each meridian had according to the data selected. The following diagrams show the best fitting curve equation for the $180^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $45^\circ$, $135^\circ$.

We excluded map samples where astigmatism in the central 3 mm measurement was <0.50 Dc. The refractive power was taken at the horizontal ($180^\circ$), vertical ($90^\circ$), and oblique axes ($45^\circ$ and $135^\circ$) for each of the 30 people (University of West Attica). Also the average keratometric measurements for 3mm were recorded.

Figure 5: Conical sections.

Figure 6: Placido topographer CSO Phoenix

Figure 7: Placido-Based Topography & Refractive Map (Placido topographer CSO Phoenix)

Figure 8: Refractive power at $180^\circ$, $90^\circ$, $45^\circ$, $135^\circ$

Figure 9: The polynomial best fitting curve matching the curvature of the cornea at $180^\circ$ for $\varnothing$ 5mm is $y = 0,3343x^2 - 1,82x + 45,178$.

Figure 10: The polynomial best fitting curve matching the curvature of the cornea at $90^\circ$ for $\varnothing$ 5mm is $y = 0,4343x^2 - 2,1466x + 45,791$.

Figure 11: The polynomial best fitting curve matching the curvature of the cornea at $45^\circ$ for $\varnothing$ 5mm is $y = 0,2805x^2 - 1,2659x + 44,494$. 
V. CONCLUSION

The results showed that the shape of the cornea varies in the various meridians (e.g. 180° or 90°) and even with oblique meridians (e.g. in the nasal relative to the temporal side) and does not have the same curvature. This is probably to the anatomy of the cranial bones orbit and the different pressure that the eyelids generate on the corneal surface (more nasally, vertical and less temporal, horizontal).
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Figure 12: The polynomial best fitting curve matching the curvature of the cornea at 135° for Ø 5mm is $y = 0.3404x^2 - 1.6032x + 44.856$. 
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